UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,097	12/30/2005	Yasuaki Nakamura	06-05-2830	6940
23388 TROJAN LAW	7590 12/26/200 'OFFICES	EXAMINER		
9250 WILSHIR		TYLER, STEPHANIE E		
	SUITE 325 BEVERLY HILLS, CA 90212			PAPER NUMBER
			3754	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/26/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/563,097	NAKAMURA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	STEPHANIE E. TYLER	3754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 December</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access	relection requirement. r. epted or b)□ objected to by the B				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is objected to by the Expression of the control	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF IOTH P 10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/13/2008; 12/12/2007.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

DETAILED ACTION

Preliminary Amendment

1. The preliminary amendment filed on 12/30/2005 has been entered.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the claimed subject matter in claims 1-4, "the fuel container being mountable on the fuel container, which is mounted on the fuel cell" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner,

Application/Control Number: 10/563,097 Page 3

Art Unit: 3754

the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

I- In claim 1, lines 6 and 18, "fuel" are positively recited for a second and third times. This renders the claims confusing as it raises issues of double inclusion.

II- In claim 2, lines 6 and 14, "fuel" are positively recited for a second and third times. This renders the claims confusing as it raises issues of double inclusion.

III- In claim 3, lines 6 and 16, "fuel" are positively recited for a second and third times. This renders the claims confusing as it raises issues of double inclusion.

IV- In claim 4, lines 8 and 13, "fuel" are positively recited for a second and third times. This renders the claims confusing as it raises issues of double inclusion.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prasad et al. (2003/0082427) in view of Alleard et al. (6,375,046).

The Prasad et al. reference discloses a fuel container (120) for housing fuel to be supplied to a fuel cell (350) having a container main body (122) and inner container (124); and wherein the fuel container (120,122) is being mountable (via various connections) on the fuel cell to directly supply fuel thereto.

However the Prasad et al. reference lacks a full structure of the fuel container.

The Alleard et al. reference teaches a fluid container having a container main body (B) with a sealed structure (12), inner container (P), a valve mechanism (14), injection valve (1; col.4, lines 31-38; see fig.2), a compressed gas (V); and wherein all of the structural components that contact the fluid being formed of non-metallic materials; and wherein the container main body (1,3) is formed by a transparent material for the purpose of supplying fluid to a fuel cell.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have reasonably modified the fuel supply container of Prasad et al. with a fuel supply container as taught by Alleard et al. in order fill the container main body with fuel to supply the fuel cell.

In response to the claim language, the recitation "... for housing fuel to be supplied to a fuel cell" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but,

Application/Control Number: 10/563,097 Page 5

Art Unit: 3754

instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Rebeyrolle et al. (5,123,571), Wilkinson et al. (5,672,439, Stoffel (5,137,179), Rudick (5,135,137), Fuchs (5,803,311), and Baudin (2001/0025857) are other various types of fluid containers with an inner container.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to STEPHANIE E. TYLER whose telephone number is (571)272-8059. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/563,097 Page 6

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. E. T./ Examiner, Art Unit 3754

/Frederick C. Nicolas/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3754